### HONORABLE ROSEMARIE E. AQUILINA INGHAM COUNTY CIRCUIT JUDGE GENERAL TRIAL DIVISION



313 W. KALAMAZOO STREET LANSING, MICHIGAN 48933 PHONE: (517) 483-6526 FAX: (517) 483-6534 E-MAIL: RAQUILINA@INGHAM.ORG

## State of Michigan

**Ingham County Circuit Court** 

### PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify I served a copy of the Order of Declaratory Judgment in case number 13-734-CZ upon Plaintiffs, Defendants, and the President of the United States, Barack Obama, by placing the Order of Declaratory Judgment in case number 13-734-CZ in sealed envelopes addressed to John R. Canzano, attorney for Plaintiffs, Thomas Quasarano and Brian Devlin, attorneys for Defendants, and President Barack Obama, and deposited for mailing with the United States Mail at Lansing, Michigan on July 23, 2013.

JOHN R. CANZANO McKNIGHT, McCLOW, CANZANO, SMITH & RADTKE, P.C. 400 GALLERIA OFFICENTRE, SUITE 117 SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN 48034

THOMAS QUASARANO
BRIAN DEVLIN
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OPERATIONS DIVISION
2ND FLOOR G. WILLIAMS BUILDING
525 WEST OTTAWA STREET
P.O. BOX 30754
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
THE WHITE HOUSE
1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20500

Dept of Attorney General

AUG 0 6 2013

State ( ) The Division

Morgan E. Cole (P75166)

Law Clerk to the Honorable Rosemarie E. Aquilina

13-53846-swr Doc 1219-8 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 1 of 4 983 13-53846-tit Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/27/13 Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 1 of 30

## STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

GRACIE WEBSTER and VERONICA THOMAS,

Plaintiffs,

٧S

Case No. 13-734-CZ Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN; RICHARD SNYDER, as Governor of the State of Michigan; and ANDY DILLON, as Treasurer of the State of Michigan,

Defendants.

### ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

At a session of said Court held in Ingham County Circuit Court, State of Michigan, this 1970 day of July, 2013.

PRESENT: KOMO I

Circuit Court Judge

Plaintiffs request declaratory relief pursuant to MCR 2.605 concerning (1) the constitutionality under Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution of the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act, 2012 PA 436, MCL 141.1541, et seq. ("PA 436"), insofar as PA 436 permits the Governor to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under chapter 9 of the bankruptcy code, chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code, 29 USC 901 to 946 ("Chapter 9") in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits; and (2) the

authority of the Governor and/or State Treasurer to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits.

Plaintiffs have requested, and Defendants have agreed in their Response, that the hearing in this matter may be advanced pursuant to MCR 2.605(D) and the court finds that expedited treatment is appropriate and that final declaratory relief is proper at this time.

The Court having reviewed the parties filings and submissions, and having heard oral argument by counsel for the parties, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, and for the reasons stated on the record,

### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

PA 436 is unconstitutional and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution to the extent that it permits the Governor to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in any manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits; and PA 436 is to that extent of no force or effect;

The Governor is prohibited by Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution from authorizing an emergency manager under PA 436 to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits, and any such action by the Governor is without authority and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.

On July 16, 2013, City of Detroit Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr submitted a recommendation to Defendant Governor Snyder and Defendant Treasurer Dillon pursuant to Section 18(1) of PA 436 to proceed under Chapter 9, which together with the facts presented in Plaintiffs' filings, reflect that Emergency Manager Orr intended to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits if he were authorized to proceed under Chapter 9. On July 18, 2013, Defendant

Governor Snyder approved the Emergency Manager's recommendation without placing any contingencies on a Chapter 9 filing by the Emergency Manager; and the Emergency Manager filed a Chapter 9 petition shortly thereafter. By authorizing the Emergency Manager to proceed under Chapter 9 to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits, Defendant Snyder acted without authority under Michigan law and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.

In order to rectify his unauthorized and unconstitutional actions described above, the Governor must (1) direct the Emergency Manager to immediately withdraw the Chapter 9 petition filed on July 18, and (2) not authorize any further Chapter 9 filing which threatens to A copy of this Order shall be transmitted to President Obarna.

At is so Ordered . Somerie E. Specific Circuit Court Judge 137670 diminish or impair accrued pension benefits.

1	STATE OF MICHIGAN
	30TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM
2	CIVIL DIVISION
3	THE GENERAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM
	OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, and THE
4	POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM
	OF THE CITY OF DETROIT,
5	
•	Plaintiffs,
6	▼ Case No. 13-768-CZ
	Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina
7	KEVYN D. ORR, in his official capacity
	as the EMERGENCY MANAGER OF THE CITY OF
8	DETROIT, and RICHARD SNYDER, in his
	official capacity as the GOVERNOR OF THE
9	STATE OF MICHIGAN,
10	Defendants.
11	GRACIE WEBSTER and
	VERONICA THOMAS,
12	1 . 1 . 5
4.0	Plaintiffs,
13	v Case No. 13-734-CZ
7.4	Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina
14	THE STATE OF MICHIGAN; RICHARD
	SNYDER, as Governor of the State
15	of Michigan; and ANDY DILLON,
	as Treasurer of the State of
16	Michigan,
1 7	Defendants.
17	DODDIE DIOTUDO MINISTEL VIDITO
10	ROBBIE FLOWERS, MICHAEL WELLS,
18	JANET WHITSON, MARY WASHINGTON,
10	and BRUCE GOLDMAN,
19	District.
20	Plaintiffs,
20	v Case No. 13-729-CZ
21	Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina
21	RICK SNYDER, as the Governor of the
22	State of Michigan; ANDY DILLON, as
22	the Treasurer of the State of Michigan; and the STATE OF MICHIGAN,
23	and the State of Michigan,
43	Defendants.
24	belendants.
44	/
25	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
29	THOUTON TOW EVERYCHIMMY THOUNOTTON

1	BEFORE THE HON. ROSEMARIE AQUILINA, CIRCUIT JUDGE
2	Ingham County, Michigan - Thursday, July 18, 2013
3	
4	APPEARANCES:
5	For Plaintiffs Retirement Systems:
6	RONALD A. KING (P45088) MICHAEL J. PATTWELL (P72419) CLARK HILL PLC
7	212 East Grand River Ave.
8	Lansing, MI 48906 For Plaintiffs Webster, et al.:
9	JOHN R. CANZANO (P30417) Smith & Radtke, PC
10	400 Galleria Officentre, Ste. 117 Southfield, MI 48034
11	For Plaintiffs Flowers, et al.:
12	WILLIAM A. WERTHEIMER (P26275) Attorney at Law 30515 Timberbrook Lane
13	Bingham Farms, MI 48025
14	For the Defendants: THOMAS QUASARANO (P27982) Assistant Attorney General
15	State Operations Division P.O. Box 30754
16	Lansing, MI 48909
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY: Melinda I. Dexter, RMR, RPR, CSR-4629 Official Court Reporter
20	313 W. Kalamazoo Post Office Box 40771
21	Lansing, MI 48901-7971
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
TABLE
                                 OF
                                      CONTENTS
  2
  3
          WITNESSES:
  6
               None
 7
 8
 9
10
11
          EXHIBITS:
12
              None
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

7 Ingham County, Mi an 1 E ?ائــــ 2 Thursday, July 18, 2013 - At 4:15 p.m. MR. KING: Your Honor, Ron King again on behalf 2 3 MR. KING: Good afternoon. 3 of the Plaintiffs, the Detroit Retirement Systems. We THE COURT: Good afternoon. We have everybody 4 might need to beg the Court's indulgence. While we here? 5 appreciate that you have seen us on very short notice, 5 6 MR. KING: They are. we've been advised that the City has filed, and we're THE COURT: All right. This is Docket 7 7 pulling it up on the electronic filing system, so we 8 13-768-CZ, the General Retirement System of the City of might need a few minutes here to figure out our very next 8 9 Detroit and the Police and Fire Retirement System of the 9 step. 10 City of Detroit versus Kevin D. Orr, in his official THE COURT: Okay. 10 11 capacity as the Emergency Manager of the City of Detroit, 11 MR. KING: Because the effect of a bankruptcy 12 and Richard Snyder, in his official capacity as the filing, if, in fact, that's - we're trying to conform 12 Governor of the State of Michigan. 13 that. We think, in fact, it has been filed here within 13 34 Counsel, your appearances for the record. the last half hour. So we probably need about a 14 15 MR. KING: Good afternoon, your Honor. Ron 15 ten-minute recess here, if the Court would indulge us. I King with Clark Hill on behalf of the Plaintiffs, the 16 16 know you have another matter. 17 General Retirement System of the City of Detroit and the THE COURT: Do we want to make a phone call? 17 18 Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit. 18 MR. KING: Yeah. We can, but we're pretty --19 THE COURT: Welcome. THE COURT: Well, here's the thing: If they 19 20 MR. KING: Thank you. haven't filed, we need to hurry up and proceed. If they 20 21 MR. QUASARANO: Your Honor, if I may, Thomas 21 have filed --22 Quasarano, Assistant Attorney General, that will be MR. KING: We're pretty confident that they 22 23 appearing in this case on behalf of the Defendant. I 23 filed. 24 believe the Defendant was served yesterday. We have not 24 Right? received a request for representation, but I'm very 25 25 I mean, we're pulling it up. Yeah. It's been likely going to be asked to represent the Governor. confirmed. So I'm not sure where that leaves us with 1 2 THE COURT: Sir? this proceeding because it's going to be pretty hard to 3 MR. WERTHEIMER: Excuse me, your Honor, undue. It's been done. William Wertheimer. I apologize for my dress. 4 MR. WERTHEIMER: There is no automatic stay in 4 THE COURT: No problem. I know it's last 5 this. 5 minute. I don't care how people are dressed. It's more 6 MR. KING: Yeah. What we're here for - the 7 important that you are here. 7 really --MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you, your Honor. I was 8 What counsel is saying is there is no automatic 8 here to file my reply brief today for the Monday hearing. 9 stay with respect to this proceeding. So in our I am now here knowing that this motion has been filed, 10 judgment, this matter will proceed. What you have before 10 and I wanted to enter my appearance. 11 you, however, is a motion for temporary restraining order 11 12 THE COURT: All right. You may have a seat. to enjoin certain conduct that's already occurred. So 12 13 There is plenty of room for all. 13 I'm not sure that we really have a lot of-business in 1.4 MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you. front of the Court at this moment, but I would like to 15 MR. CANZANO: Your Honor, excuse me, John just confer for about ten minutes on that issue because 15 16 Canzano, Plaintiffs' attorney in the Webster case. Same 16 we will proceed in the case. And if we're here and you 17 as Mr. Wertheimer, we just found out about this. I'm want to take the time to set some sort of expedited 17 here. My reply brief is being filed. I have a judge's 18 briefing schedule, we could do that also. 18 19 copy here somewhere. 19 It's quite likely that you, your Honor, will be 20 THE COURT: All right. Have a seat. able to make a ruling on the merits of this case in 20 21 MR. KING: Your Honor -advance of whatever occurs in the context of a Chapter 9 21 THE COURT: Anybody else? 22 22 filing. MR. PATTWELL: Your Honor, Michael Pattwell 23 23 THE COURT: I plan on making a ruling on from Clark Hill on behalf of Plaintiffs. 24 Monday. I could make a ruling tomorrow, if push came to THE COURT: Thank you. 5-SWY DOC 1219-9 Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 4 of 8 990. I am 13-53846-swr Filed 10/17/13 13-53846-tit Doc 2334-29 Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 8 of 30 Filed 12/27/13

confident that the bankrupt ourt won't act as quickly we should Jut from the Office of the Attorney 1 2 as I will. 2 General whether the Governor has authorized a bankruptcy 3 MR. KING: Yeah. I'm not sure, but we'll see. 3 that has done the act that we were attempting to enjoin I mean, there might - but, nevertheless, so we should -4 4 and that they knew we were attempting to enjoin and that 5 If you're prepared to rule on the merits on 5 they've known for the last two weeks and that they're 6 Monday, again I'm not sure what -- if there is much 6 filing briefs on saying that it's not ripe. The 7 business for us left to do before the Court today. 7 attorneys for the Government have represented to this 8 THE COURT: Unless some kind of - I don't 8 Court that our motion is not ripe. 9 really have any authority over them, so. 9 THE COURT: I just received a note from my law 10 MR. KING: Right. 10 clerk that says the bankruptcy was filed at 4:06. 11 THE COURT: I don't think anything --11 MR. KING: Right. Your Honor, so what we'd 12 Counsel? 12 like to do here is amend our emergency motion for 13 temporary restraining order and get it and request from MR. WERTHEIMER: Your Honor, the motion that's 13 up for Monday, our motion at least that's up for Monday, 14 14 this Court an order enjoining the Governor and the 15 is a request for a preliminary injunction to enjoin the 15 Emergency Manager from taking any further action in the 16 Governor. We have no evidence the Governor has 16 bankruptcy proceeding, and we'll modify our order to that 17 authorized any bankruptcy, and we would not only want to 17 effect. go forward on Monday but ask that the motion for MR. WERTHEIMER: I would join that as to the 18 18 preliminary injunction be moved up to now, hopefully, to 19 Governor. We have not sued the Detroit Emergency 19 20 tomorrow morning if the Court will not hear it now. But Manager, but I would orally join in that motion as to the 20 21 I don't think there is any reason why the Court cannot 21 Governor and the Secretary of the Treasury. MR. CANZANO: I would say the same in our case. 22 hear our motion for preliminary injunction. 22 23 I'm not talking about in terms of the Court's We're not joining their motion but we're making a motion 23 24 preparedness but in terms of the apparent filing. They in our case that would be the same as theirs only against 24 25 may have filed. But nobody -- I asked the Governor's 25 the Governor. 10 1 Office before we came in here - er, the Attorney General THE COURT: Granted, as to all of your 1 whether they could make any representations to me that 2 requests. 2 3 would obviate the need for me going forward, and they 3 How soon are you going to present me with an could not. order? 4 5 So we've got a written, fully briefed request/ 5 MR. KING: Right now. 6 motion for preliminary injunction. The Attorney 6 THE COURT: All right. General's Office has briefed it. Time is obviously of MR. KING: We just need to mark up the order 7 the essence. I would suggest that the Court hear our 8 8 that we have for the Court. motion to preliminarily enjoin the Governor authorizing a 9 9 THE COURT: Absolutely. bankruptcy now. MR. QUASARANO: Your Honor, if I may, we would 10 10 MR. CANZANO: Your Honor, I would make 11 11 ask that the Court stays enforcement of the order, and 12 essentially the same request except that our motion, 12 your ruling on that would be appreciated at this time. 13 although it seeks preliminary injunctive relief in the THE COURT: Denied. 13 14 alternative, it primarily seeks a final declaratory MR. QUASARANO: Thank you. We'll present an 14 judgment that what has just happened, apparently, is 15 15 order as soon as possible. 16 unconstitutional, and that is ready for a final decision 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 we were saying on Monday. We have a reply brief that has 17 MR. QUASARANO: Thank you, Judge. 18 just been filed, and we would - we could - this Court MR. WERTHEIMER: Your Honor, we will need a few 18 19 could issue that order immediately, and I don't know what 19 minutes to prepare a written order, but if we can -20 the consequences for the bankruptcy court would be, 20 THE COURT: Well, sir, would you like to copy 21 necessarily, but I think it would - it might make a 21 that and modify what they're doing? My law clerk will be difference. 22 22 happy to help you. 23 MR. WERTHEIMER: I'm sorry, and I think that at 23 MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you, your Honor. a minimum, your Honor, I think we should - I think the 24 THE COURT: As to your stay, you'll be getting Court should decide the preliminary injunction now, but 25 Filed 10/17/13 Page 5 of 8 991, Doc 1219-9 Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 13-53846-swr

Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 9 of 30

13-53846-tit Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/27/13

1 MR. QUASARANO: be I can just make a call supposed and i understood 1 and get an order over to you right yet today. 2 2 this was a very important issue, and we obviously have a 3 THE COURT: Sure. You can even handwrite it. hearing scheduled, another hearing scheduled, at 3 4 I don't care how we do it. You can run it over here, fax 4 9 o'clock on Monday. 5 it over here; whatever gets you the job done. Time is of 5 So I advised my law clerk that we had a the essence. 4 o'clock hearing that wasn't going to take very long, 6 6 7 MR. QUASARANO: I appreciate that. 7 and whenever you all got here and that we would wait for 8 all of the attorneys, we would then have a hearing and to MR. KING: (Approaching the bench.) 8 9 Your Honor, Ron King again on behalf of the let me know when everybody was in place and then I would 9 10 Plaintiffs. If we could go back on the record. 10 come out. THE COURT: Excuse me. 11 So that's exactly what happened. She let me 11 12 know everybody was here, gave me the paperwork to look MR. KING: We'd like to set the sequence of 12 13 events in terms of how things have transpired in the last 13 over, and, of course, I did just that. And we got out of 14 hour, if you will. Just for the record, our motion for here as quickly as we could, obviously not in time 14 15 emergency temporary restraining order was filed at 15 because 4:06 occurred and they did what they were going 3:37 p.m.; that is, today, July 18th. We promptly, well 16 to do, which I know you all raised here. 16 17 in advance of 4 o'clock and probably within - well, 17 I did have an opportunity to - with review of 18 actually, we had delivered prior to the filing time at 18 what was filed, and you're asking me what I would have 19 3:37 judge's copies to chambers for your review. 19 done, and it was my intention, after reviewing what you 20 Then we waited for the Attorney General, who had filed, in addition to other research that my capable 20 21 doesn't feel compelled to make an appearance here in this externs from Cooley and from Michigan State, as well as 21 22 case because he hasn't actually been officially retained my very capable law clerk pulled for me, I reviewed 22 23 yet, but, nevertheless, as a courtesy we waited for him constitutional provisions, I reviewed legislative intent, 23 24 to appear, which he came upstairs sometime around 4:10. 24 I reviewed what you all provided me, I reviewed a lot of 25 We understand the bankruptcy filing was at 4:05? information in the last few hours, and it was my 12 14 THE COURT: 4:06. 1 1 intention to grant you your request completely. 2 MR. KING: Thank you, your Honor. Appreciate MR. KING: 4:06. The Court took the bench at 2 3 approximately 4:20. And to the extent your Honor has had your clarifying the record. 3 4 an opportunity to read the papers and was inclined to 4 MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you, your Honor. 5 make a ruling, if you'd be willing to put that on the 5 Your Honor, we have a proposed order. б record, then in the -- when we do seek dismissal of the THE COURT: You may approach. Thank you. 6 bankruptcy proceeding, we'll have some clear record of 7 7 MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you. It is handwritten. 8 the sequence of events here. (Approaching the bench.) 8 9 MR. WERTHEIMER: Just to add, in terms of the 9 THE COURT: No problem. sequence of events, I did advise by telephone 10 10 MR. WERTHEIMER: And for caption, it just says, Mr. Quasarano of the fact that I would be in court and 11 11 at this point, Flowers Caption. 12 that it was my understanding that Clark Hill was going to 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 be in court seeking a temporary restraining order. I MR. WERTHEIMER: I had some help in drafting 13 14 talked to him by phone before 4 this afternoon, sometime 14 too if you can't read the -15 between 3:30 and 4. THE COURT: We'll make it work. 15 16 MR. QUASARANO: And I could confirm that MR. WERTHEIMER: Okay. Thank you, Judge. 16 Mr. Wertheimer gave me the professional curtesy of 17 17 MR. KING: We may be back tomorrow, your Honor. 18 letting me know that there was a hearing being planned. MR. WERTHEIMER: We may be back too, 18 19 I had no - we have no personal knowledge in our division your Honor. And if we are, I will be in a suit. 19 of a bankruptcy being filed any certain time or date, so 20 20 THE COURT: It's okay. As long as your body is 21 there is nothing we could provide in terms of a response 21 covered, I don't care what's it's covered with. 22 that there is going to be a bankruptcy filed. So we MR. KING: I think with respect to the present 22 23 learned it as everyone else learned. 23 motion before you, we have an order in place and 24 THE COURT: All right. And obviously I heard 24 appreciate you making the accomodation and time for us this was happening. I had another hearing that was 13-53846-SWr Doc 1219-9 Filed 10/17/13 25 today. Thank you, Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 6 of 8 992

```
THE COURT: No picciem.
  1
  2
             Now, if you're back tomorrow, what is it going
     to be for?
 3
             MR. KING: We might file a mandamus action
     requiring the EM to withdraw the Chapter 9 filing.
             THE COURT: Will this require time on the
     record?
 8
             MR. KING: Yes.
             THE COURT: Okay. My time restriction is that
 9
     I have my morning free until about 1:30. Can you get it
10
     here before 1:30?
11
12
             MR. PATTWELL: Yes.
13
             MR. KING: Absolutely.
             THE COURT: I'll make myself available all
14
     morning until 1:30.
15
16
             MR. KING: Thank you, your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. CANZANO: May I approach, your Honor? I
18
     have an order drafted also.
19
             THE COURT: You may.
20
             MR. CANZANO: (Approaching the bench.)
21
22
             THE COURT: Okay. We'll make you copies, and
23
     this is our copy.
             Anything else for the record?
24
25
             MR. KING: No, your Honor. Thank you.
 1
             MR. WERTHEIMER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
             THE COURT: That's all for the record. Thank
 2
 3
    you.
                (At 4:38 p.m., the matter is
                concluded.)
 5
 6
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

<sup>2</sup>13-53846-swr Doc 1219-9 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 7 of 8 993 13-53846-tit Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/27/13 Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 11 of

1	STATE OF MICHIGAN)
2	) SS. COUNTY OF INGHAM)
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	I, Melinda I. Dexter, Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
8	17 pages comprise an accurate, true, and complete
9	transcript of the proceedings and testimony taken in the
10	case of The General Retirement System of the City of
11	Detroit, et al., versus Kevyn D. Orr, et al., Case
12	No. 13-768-CZ, and Gracie Webster, et al., versus the
13	State of Michigan, et al., Case No. 13-734-CZ, and
14	Robbie Flowers, et al., versus Rick Snyder, et al., Case
15	No. 13-729-CZ, on Thursday, July 18, 2013.
16	I further certify that this transcript of the
17	record of the proceedings and testimony truly and
18	correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the
19	respective parties. WITNESS my hand this the eighteenth
20	day of <u>July</u> , 2013.
21	cala and
22	Welindred leder
23	Meliyda I. Dexter, RMR/RPR, CSR-4629 Official Court Reporter
24	313 West Kalamazoo Post Office Box 40771
25	Lansing, Michigan 48901-7971

1	STATE OF MICHIGAN
2	30TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM CIVIL DIVISION
3	
4	GRACIE WEBSTER and VERONICA THOMAS,
5	
6	Plaintiffs, v Case No. 13-734-CZ Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina
7	THE STATE OF MICHIGAN; RICHARD SNYDER, as Governor of the State
8	of Michigan; and ANDY DILLON, as Treasurer of the State of
9	Michigan,
10	Defendants.
11	ROBBIE FLOWERS, MICHAEL WELLS,  JANET WHITSON, MARY WASHINGTON,  and BRUCE GOLDMAN,
12	Plaintiffs,
13	v Case No. 13-729-CZ Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina
14	RICK SNYDER, as the Governor of the State of Michigan; ANDY DILLON, as
15	the Treasurer of the State of Michigan; and the STATE OF MICHIGAN,
16	
17	Defendants/
18	MOTION TO AMEND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
19	MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT
20	MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION
21	BEFORE THE HON. ROSEMARIE AQUILINA, CIRCUIT JUDGE
22	Ingham County, Michigan - Friday, July 19, 2013
23	
24	
25	

```
1
          APPEARANCES:
 2
          For Plaintiffs Webster, et al.:
 3
                                 JOHN R. CANZANO (P30417)
                                 Smith & Radtke, PC
                                 400 Galleria Officentre, Ste. 117
                                 Southfield, MI 48034
          For Plaintiffs Flowers, et al.:
                                 WILLIAM A. WERTHEIMER (P26275)
                                 Attorney at Law
                                 30515 Timberbrook Lane
                                 Bingham Farms, MI 48025
 8
          For State Defendants:
 9
                                 THOMAS QUASARANO (P27982)
                                 BRIAN DEVLIN (P34685)
                                 Assistant Attorney General
10
                                 State Operations Division
11
                                 P.O. Box 30754
                                 Lansing, MI 48909
12
13
14
           REPORTED BY:
                                Melinda I. Dexter, RMR, RPR, CSR-4629
                                 Official Court Reporter
15
                                 313 W. Kalamazoo
                                 Post Office Box 40771
16
                                 Lansing, MI 48901-7971
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
OF
                                         CONTENTS
1
2
3
          WITNESSES:
 6
               None
 7
 8
9
10
11
          EXHIBITS:
12
               None
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

13-53846-swr Doc 1219-10 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 3 of 13 997 Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/27/13 Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 15 of 30 13-53846-tjt

Ingham County, Michigan 1 whatever the Court's preference would be. 2 Friday, July 19, 2013 - At 11:25 a.m. 2 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sign this, and I THE COURT: Okay. All right. Robert Flowers, haven't compared the two. I think we probably should 3 Michael Wells, Janet Whitson, Mary Washington, and Bruce call it an amended order. 4 4 MR. WERTHEIMER: Okay. Goldman versus Rick Snyder, as the Governor of the State 5 5 THE COURT: But let me just say that your stay 6 of Michigan; Andy Dillon, as the Treasurer of the State 6 7 of Michigan; and the State of Michigan, Docket 13-729-CZ. is denied. 7 8 Counsel, your appearance for the record. 8 MR. QUASARANO: Thank you, your Honor. MR. WERTHEIMER: William Wertheimer, 9 9 Maybe --10 your Honor, on behalf of Plaintiffs. 10 THE COURT: Counsel? 11 MR. CANZANO: Your Honor, John Canzano. I'm 11 MR. QUASARANO: Maybe doing another separate not counsel in that case. I'm here on the Webster case. order makes the most sense, and we can do that using the 12 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 13 forms provided by the Court. MR. QUASARANO: Thomas Quasarano, Assistant 14 THE COURT: Okay, Thank you. 14 15 Attorney General on behalf of the State Defendants. 15 MR. QUASARANO: Thank you. MR. WERTHEIMER: Your Honor, one other thing MR. DEVLIN: And Brian Devlin, Assistant 16 16 17 Attorney General. 17 that may be related to that, and that is, the order the 18 THE-COURT: Thank you. 18 Court is entering, consistent with the order the Court 19 Counsel? 19 entered yesterday, provides us with the relief that we MR. WERTHEIMER: Your Honor, Plaintiffs are 20 were seeking by our motion which was scheduled for 20 here today in order to request that the Court enter 21 21 hearing Monday at 9 o'clock. 22 22 either a corrected or amended preliminary injunction The Attorney General had also noticed a motion 23 order. The Court, I'm sure, recalls the circumstances 23 to dismiss for Monday at 9 o'clock. It was not timely in 24 yesterday. We have had a chance to have your order the sense that he did not give the appropriate time 25 typed. We reviewed it. There were some mistakes in it. period for us to respond. In the reply brief I filed For example, the heading still said temporary restraining yesterday, we objected to that and said that we did not 1 1 agree to expedited. There was no order expediting and 2 order from the other case where it was clear from the record and from the body, even, of the order that it was 3 suggested that the Court deny the -- their motion for a preliminary injunction. So we made that change. We that reason, but I raise it now just to indicate that 4 typed everything. We put in the attorneys' names and the that also is out there and that maybe we want -- we want 5 6 case name. 6 another order dealing with that issue. 7 We made a couple of other changes, which I have 7 Our position is that it's not timely and that indicated to the Court off the record on another copy of it shouldn't be heard Monday-in any event. I don't know the injunctive order. And I would -- we would -- I'm whether the Attorney General intends to proceed on Monday happy to go over each of those, if the Court needs. 10 on it. 10 11 Otherwise, I would request that the Court issue this 11 MR. QUASARANO: Your Honor, we do understand preliminary injunction. I did not know whether the Court 12 that under MCR 2.119, the-motion for summary disposition 12 would want to refer to it as corrected, amended, or not 13 is a 21-day period. We sought stipulation of counsel. 13 refer to it at all. So I left that blank, But we would 14 They were kind enough to look at the briefs first to 14 ask that the Court enter the order that we presented 15 decide whether they would stipulate. They chose not to. 15 16 today to conform to the Court's ruling yesterday. 16 We also sought the endorsement on our notice of 17 17 THE COURT: Counsel? hearing from the Court to allow the hearing on Monday. MR. QUASARANO: Yes, your Honor. As your Honor Yesterday at bench we discussed if we needed to -- we 18 18 knows, we moved for a stay, and so I would ask either 19 needed to set a hearing date on the dispositive motions. 19 20 that the stay that was denied yesterday be identified in 20 The Court is at liberty to have those heard today or on the modified order, or we can present another stay. I Monday or at such other time. Our notice of hearing did 21 21 would assume that the Court would not grant a stay of say "or at such other time as the Court may order" on the 22 23 this order consistent with yesterday. So either to 23 notice of hearing itself. Thanks. identify it in this modified order as a stay was MR. CANZANO: Your Honor, if I could make a 24 24 requested and denied, or we can just do another order. 25 point that is related to that issue? 13-53846-swr Doc 1219-10 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 4 of 13 998

.that, or will you be amending that? 1 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 1 MR. QUASARANO: No. I'll speak for Mr. Devlin 2 MR. CANZANO: We've -- we've presented a motion 2 here for a moment only. In the notice of hearing, we 3 this morning, an emergency motion, to advance the hearing 3 indicated to advance it to that date because of all the on our motion for declaratory judgment that's set for 4 other activities in this case or such other time as the Monday to today. It would be my intention to deal only 5 5 with the declaratory judgment part of it today, not the Court may order. 6 I do point out that in the Flowers case in the 7 7 injunction part of it. And they've already -- they've prayer for relief is a reference to declaratory judgment. agreed that that can be expedited. I don't know that 8 8 they've agreed that it can be expedited to today, but Both cases are asking for both reliefs; preliminary and 9 9 declaratory judgment. Preliminary injunction motions they agree that it could be expedited to Monday. 10 10 were granted. Our brief talks about the alternative, So if - that part of it, either today or 11 11 Monday, that would be a final declaratory judgment. My assuming arguendo there were a filing, a Chapter 9 12 12 13 filing, and then we go into the basis for why there are. preference is to do it today. 13 grounds not to declare judgment, why there is some THE COURT: Is that correct? 14 14 MR. QUASARANO: Well, I believe under 2.605(D), jurisdictional grounds. 15 15 So I think that the brief is sufficiently they can seek an expedited hearing, and certainly the 16 16 adequate to address all of the issues that are still at Court has the authority to issue that. I think by not 17 17 issue in this case. Certainly there has been a factual entertaining a dispositive motion, we're not going to 18 18 change and those factual changes don't need to be have a complete argument. Mr. Devlin will be arguing for 19 19 the State. But we do acknowledge what the court rule 20 addressed. 20 MR. WERTHEIMER: I guess I just would reiterate 21 21 says, that's correct. if - I need to know whether counsel is going forward on THE COURT: Well, are you objecting to having 22 22 Monday with its motion to dismiss. I still haven't heard it heard today? 23 23 MR. QUASARANO: We will not object in the 24 a yes or no. 24 THE COURT: His answer is yes, Counsel. 25 interest of judicial economy. 25 MR. WERTHEIMER: Well, okay. If the answer is THE COURT: And your motion deals with that 1 1 yes. I would just point out that it's clear under the 2 issue? 2 rules that it is not timely; that no order has entered MR, QUASARANO: It's a (C)(8) motion that would 3 from this Court. address whether there are grounds for a declaratory 4 THE COURT: You're right. 5 5 judgment, yes. 6 MR. WERTHEIMER: Okay. THE COURT: Well, then --6 THE COURT: You know what we're doing? We are 7 I'm sorry? under siege here. Well, we aren't; I'm not. Technically MR. WERTHEIMER: I'm sorry. I may be confused 8 I am through paper, but all of you are. Detroit is. The now. Their motion that they filed in the Flowers case to 9 9 State is. So I'm not going to go through the usual court dismiss deals with issues like ripeness. It's a (C)(4) 10 10 and (C)(8) motion. Many of the facts have changed. I 11 rules and the time and all of that. You are all going to 11 spend your weekend doing what lawyers do, and that's a would think they would want to refile that, in any event. 12 12 lot of homework because we're going to have that hearing I mean, you know, to make an argument based on -- based 13 on ripeness given what happened yesterday afternoon seems-14 Monday unless you're asking me to do it now. 14 I'm going to hear everything because we're not 15 to me to be just, to use a lawyer's word, moot at this 15 going to piecemeal this. You all know the case. I know point. But I'm concerned only with their motion to 16 16 the case: I've done the homework. I don't think myself dismiss in the Flowers case, not with anything related to 17 17 or my staff got any sleep last night. We've been doing Webster and whether we're to appear here Monday at 9 to 1.8 18 research. I bet if I called all of your wives and asked -- per their notice or whether they've withdrawn that 19 19 if you got any sleep, they'd be saying, "No. When is my 20 motion or not. 20 husband going to get some sleep," right? So we're going THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's deal with the 21 21 to have a hearing, and I don't care if it's today or 22 22 Flowers case. What is your intention in regard to Monday? Monday, I'll come here Saturday, if you would like. I 23 23 don't care. Let's get some answers, let's get a bottom 24 Are you still asking the Court to hear your motion? It 13-53846 of Whely Deac A21-2-10 as Willand 10/12/13 Enterende, 1.04117/1.3eth 3:56iving to Magan 5 and 1.0eth 3:99

Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 17 off

3-53846-tit Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/29/13

Flowers will apply to Webster as well. The fact that because that's where you all are headed. I don't care this case is now before the bankruptcy court means that what side you're on. Someone is going up, right? So I 2 there is a court of competent jurisdiction that can hear have answers for you. Tell me your story. I've got the 3 many of the concerns of the Plaintiffs. And that fact solution. You might not like it. alone changes a lot of the ripeness arguments and things Can we move on? 5 MR. QUASARANO: We're prepared to go today, or that you will see. 6 Nonetheless, it is the position of the State we'll defer to brother counsel for Monday if more time is 7 that there has not been harm at this point to the needed. 8 9 Plaintiffs. MR. WERTHEIMER: I'll go today. We can go 9 THE COURT: Sir, there hasn't been harm because 10 10 right now, I mean. they haven't acted. What we have here, and I would like THE COURT: Okay. I can go right now too. 11 11 you to get to the point, because -- and you can make your 12 How about you, sir? 12 record. I'm a very patient judge. I think most people MR. CANZANO: I think we already agreed that 13 13 will agree with that. But I have two very serious Webster could go today. 14 14 concerns because there was this rush to bankruptcy court 15 MR. DEVLIN: Very well. 15 that didn't have to occur and should not have occurred. THE COURT: We have an agreement. I think that 16 And certainly Plaintiffs should not have been blind-17 might be the only thing you all agree on. Hallelujah. 17 sided, and this Court and this process should not have MR. QUASARANO: Other than it's very-hot 18 18 been ignored. 19 outside. 19 We have the Michigan Constitution Article IX, § THE COURT: Yeah. We can agree on that too. 20 20 24 that forbids the Emergency Manager to file bankruptcy 21 Okay. 21 if pension plans or retirement system of this State or Counsel? Well, let's let these gentlemen enter 22 22 its political subdivisions are diminished or impaired. 23 so we don't make noise for the court reporter before we 23 And the Constitution states: 24 24 proceed. The accrued financial benefits of 25 Anybody else need to make an appearance? 25 14 12 each pension plan and requirement THE COURTROOM: (No verbal response.) 1 1 system of the state and its THE COURT: No? Okay. 2 2 MR. CANZANO: Which case would you like to go political subdivisions shall be a 3 3 contractual obligation thereof first; Webster or Flowers? 4 which shall not be diminished or THE COURT: Mr. --5 MR. WERTHEIMER: Well, he goes first on Flowers 6 6 And the bankruptcy court will be doing exactly because it's his motion, so it's not my --7 7 that in its reorganization because-the pensions are an THE COURT: Okay. Whatever you'd like. Я 8 unsecured asset. And under the bankruptcy MR. DEVLIN: Thank you, your Honor. My name 9 9 reorganization, under a reorganization Chapter 9, there 10 is Brian Devlin, Assistant Attorney General. 10 is no reaffirmation of debt. If I were doing a Chapter 7 THE COURT REPORTER: Could you approach the 11 1.1 and wanted to go in and reaffirm payments on my car, I 12 12 podium, please? could do so. But there is no way that you can go into THE COURT: Yeah. If everybody would speak 13 13 bankruptcy court and say, "I am going to reaffirm the -from the podium. The mikes work better. The court 14 14 pension so that we don't disrupt that." reporter has better access to hear you. We'll make a 15 15 So what we're doing here is violating the better record, and obviously the Court of Appeals and the 16 16 Constitution. And then we have Michigan Complied Law Supreme Court will need your record, please. 17 17 141.1552, which precludes the Emergency Manager from 18 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you, your Honor. Brian 1.8 taking such actions. It states specifically in m -- (m) 19 Devlin appearing on behalf of the Defendants. 19 As Mr. Quasarano has mentioned, that obviously and (ii): 20 20 The emergency manager shall fully 21 there's been a very dramatic change in circumstances 21 comply with the public employee 22 since the brief was filed. The petition in bankruptcy 22 retirement system investment has been filed as of yesterday. It changes some aspects 23 23 act -of this case from the State's perspective, but not all. Which is 7.3:56:17 13-53846-swr<sup>arg</sup> Doc 1219-10<sup>ke</sup> Filed 10/17/13 Page 6 of 13L000 13-53846-tit Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/27/13 Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 18 of

that they have the power to address under 943, is just -- 1965 PA 314, and § 24 of 1 that; it's just speculation. Article IX of the State 2 2 THE COURT: It's a certainty, sir. You filed 3 Constitution of 1963, and any 3 in bankruptcy court, which is federal because you know actions taken shall be consistent 4 4 that certainty. I don't know how you get around it with the pension fund's qualified 5 5 because it's an unsecured asset that cannot be 6 plan status under the federal 6 reaffirmed, and there is no case law, and you know that internal revenue code. 7 as well because all of us stayed up all night looking for So tell me, sir, how do you get into bankruptcy 8 8 case law, and there is no case law. You can't tell me court and not violate the Constitution of Michigan and 9 9 that it can be segregated out and reaffirmed. 10 not violate how the Emergency Manager is supposed to 10 So these people that have this pension where it operate? Haven't we jumped the gun? What are you doing 11 11 is supposed to be protected under the Constitution and 12 12 here, sir? under the legislative intent under the emergency manager MR. DEVLIN: I can understand your Honor's 13 13 legislation, it cannot survive. It cannot survive concerns. The position of the State is that none of 14 14 federal bankruptcy, and I have no jurisdiction there, and these impairments have occurred yet. 15 15 you know that. And that's why everybody made us wait as THE COURT: Only because the bankruptcy trustee 16 16 - slowly we were waiting for your office to come here hasn't got his teeth into it. It will occur. It's 17 17 out of courtesy. We waited so we would have both sides imminent, isn't it? Tell me how it's not imminent, sir? 18 18 present, which is what we do. We honor civility, and it MR. DEVLIN: I can't predict the future. 19 19 was filed in order to bind everybody so this could occur, 20 THE COURT: Yes, you can. 20 and it's cheating, sir, and it's cheating good people who 21 MR. DEVLIN: I cannot. 21 worked. 22 THE COURT: The bankruptcy court -- the 22 And so what's going to happen is we're not bankruptcy court has a certain function. You're a 23 23 honoring the Constitution, we're not honoring the lawyer. You understand the function of the bankruptcy 24 24 emergency manager legislation, and we're not honoring court. That's why you ran there yesterday not slowly but 25 25 good citizens, and we're also not honoring the President in your running shoes, right? 1 who took Detroit out of bankruptcy. What are we doing, MR. DEVLIN: I can't speak to that. I had 2 nothing to do with it. But I can tell you about § 943 of sir? 3 3 MR. DEVLIN: Your Honor, I understand what the Bankruptcy Code, which affords all of the protections 4 you're saying, but I would take exception to the motion that we discussed in the brief that I've alluded to 5 that somehow the Attorney General's Office delayed or today. 6 dragged its feet or in any way tampered with the 7 None of those injuries have occurred at this 7 proceedings yesterday. Now, I wasn't here. I wasn't point. For that reason, we-believe the claim is still 8 part of them, but I don't believe that's the case. speculative. Of course those are legitimate concerns, 9 THE COURT: It looks that way, sir. If somehow but the court, the bankruptcy court can address them. 10 10 that's not the case, I apologize, but it's the old saying I referred to - I'd also refer to Straus, the 11 11 if it looks like a duck, you know the rest. case cited in our brief too. If that injury has not 12 12 MR. DEVLIN: Well, I don't want to speculate on occurred, as we contend, then it's an inappropriate 13 13 who did what yesterday. 'As I said, I wasn't here. remedy that the Plaintiffs are asking for today. 14 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Now, obviously you and I don't see this injury 15 15 MR. DEVLIN: But it is our position that until in quite the same terms, but that is the position of the 16 16 that injury occurs and in light of Straus, in light of State. The injury has not occurred at this point. 17 the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court, that this THE COURT: That would be because the 18 18 motion should be - er, the motion is inappropriate. The bankruptcy judge has not sat at his bench like I have and 19 19 State's motion should be granted -heard the case and started the reorganization, and that's 20 20 THE COURT: Sir --21 the only reason. For me to believe what you're saying 21 MR. DEVLIN: - thank you. 22 would be -- would make me Helen Keller who's not yet 22 THE COURT: Let me ask you this: If the injury 23 learned the alphabet. occurs, isn't it then too late, much too late, way too MR. DEVLIN: I think anything that you and I 3846-swr about frat the Balk upt Exiled this holder, 

Filed 12/27/13

Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 19 of 19

1B-53846-tjt Doc 2334-29

injury. The leg has been amputated, and we-cannot fix 2 ít. MR. DEVLIN: We don't know, is my position on 3 that. We don't know, and there is opportunity for this very issue to be heard in the bankruptcy court. 5 THE COURT: But there is no opportunity in the 6 bankruptcy court for them to fix the harm. Do you have any law that says the bankruptcy court can fix the pension fund because I haven't found that either, and I've looked? 10 MR. DEVLIN: Again, I understand the pension 11 fund to be tremendously under funded. There are many 12 problems here, far beyond what's gone on in the last 13 24 hours. But the court, the bankruptcy court does have 14 jurisdiction to hear these arguments, to note the 15 16 Michigan Constitutional provisions, and to order what it feels it must order. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 MR. WERTHEIMER: Your Honor, I'll be brief. 21 First, I would just point out to the Court that this is a 22 23 motion under C - MCR 2.116(C)(4), (5), and (8): That is, it's a claim that there is no jurisdiction over the 24 subject matter, it's a claim that my clients have no 20 capacity to sue because apparently they're not being 2 claim. 3 4

just simply is not credible for an attorney for the 1 Governor and the State Treasurer to come here today and 2 say he can't predict the future when we indicated in our 3 complaint that the future could be predicted. 4 5 I would also point out that since we were in 6

court yesterday, we now have not just the bankruptcy but filings related to that bankruptcy. I'm not going to 7 introduce these documents, but I understand that counsel 8

in the Webster case that will be argued when we're done 9

here will be introducing them. I would simply point out 10 that we've got correspondence back and forth between the 11

12 Detroit Emergency Manager and the Governor requesting the 13 authorization and the Governor approving the

14 authorization, in which there is not a word mentioned 15 about Article IX, § 24 of the Michigan State

Constitution. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Our Governor does not feel that that's relevant. He goes on for pages in his authorization, obviously for public relation's purposes, talking about how deeply he cares about the city of Detroit, etcetera, etcetera, but not one word about Article IX, § 24 of the Constitution. And, of course, no such word from Mr. Orr in his request to the Governor.

So counsel's essentially saying "No harm yet. Don't worry. Maybe bankruptcy court will take care of

injured; and it's a claim that we have failed to state a

As to the law relating to those three points, I would rely upon the briefs that I have filed, including the reply brief that I filed yesterday in which I did take the position that we should not hear -- that the Court should not hear the motion to dismiss but in which I dealt with all of those issues, and I won't repeat those arguments.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

I would just point out a couple of things: First of all, counsel says that he cannot predict the future. The Detroit Emergency Manager, who is a competent lawyer familiar with bankruptey, has predicted the future, and we quoted him in our complaint as saying, essentially, that once he gets into bankruptcy, the constitutional rights of our clients will disappear, will be "trumped" in his words or in the words of the reporter quoting him. And I think that was -- there was an interview and there was also his statements made to the Detroit Free Press Editorial Board.

But the point being that the Detroit Emergency Manager has had no reluctance to predict the future, and his prediction is consistent with our claim and with the

it." But the people who are taking it into bankruptcy, 1 2 have taken it into bankruptcy have made very clear 3 they're not going to take care of it in bankruptcy.

4 And finally just the obvious point, but I think needs to be reiterated with all the flurry going on that 5 the whole point of injunctive relief is to prevent a harm 6 that has not yet occurred, and that's all we're seeking 7 with our overall lawsuit and all we were seeking with our 8 motion for preliminary injunction, which this Court has 9 already granted. Thank you. 10

MR. QUASARANO: Your Honor, I think that the State's briefing and argument sufficiently-presents the State's position, but I know the Court is patient, and I would ask the Court's indulgence on the one matter of my appearance here yesterday, and I would like to make this clear for the record, if I may, but for Mr. Wertheimer, who is counsel for the Flowers and others case, I would not have known that the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit, et al., even had a TRO motion scheduled.

20 The only communication I had with counsel for that, those Plaintiffs, was the night before asking if we 21 could accept service on the Governor, which, as the Court 22 23 knows, we're barred from accepting service on behalf of a State Defendant. Until the State Defendant is served, we

13-53846094470ns Deine 1245010 Comiledution 17/13 it Entered 10/19/743513:58:19compayers of ref31002

The opposing party has failed to 1 I was told there would not be any preliminary 1 state a claim on which relief can injunction or TRO sought in that case. I do understand 2 2 be granted. that situation had changed in the hours after that. But, 3 3 I see problems all over the place. I stated but for Mr. Werthelmer calling me, counsel in another 4 4 them. I don't think I need to be redundant. Clearly case. I would not have known. When he called me, and the 5 5 transcript yesterday says it was around 3:30 or so, and there are numerous claims and issues. I won't be 6 then I arrived as quickly as I could walk over here. So redundant. The relief requested is denied. Motion for 7 7 there was no delay on behalf of the Attorney General's summary disposition is denied. 8 8 MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you, your Honor. Office to be here, to represent the State's interest, to 9 9 THE COURT: Who's preparing the order? be here to answer this Court's questions. And any delay 10 10 MR. QUASARANO: I'll be preparing it for you, at all was because we were notified by counsel for the 11 11 Plaintiffs yesterday that they intended to bring the Judge. 12 12 13 THE COURT: Thank you, very much, sir. motion. Thank you for letting me clarify that. 13 MR, QUASARANO: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. 14 14 15 THE COURT: Next matter? Anything further, sir? 15 MR. WERTHEIMER: We are -- I am done relative MR. DEVLIN: Nothing further. Thank you. 16 16 THE COURT: Defendants have filed a motion for 17 to the Flowers case. 17 THE COURT: Thank you, very much, sir. summary disposition pursuant to (4), which is: 18 18 MR. WERTHEIMER: I'll vacate. I think there The Court lacks jurisdiction of 19 19 are others lawyers in the room with another related case. the subject matter. 20 20 So I'll wait in the courtroom but vacate counsel table. This Court absolutely has jurisdiction of the 21 21 THE COURT: Thank you. subject matter. It's a state question. I know they've 22 22 MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you. removed it to federal bankruptcy court, but we still have 23 23 very serious state questions. We have the State MR. CANZANO: Your Honor, John Canzano on 24 24 behalf of the Plaintiffs in the Webster case. I would Constitution, Article IX, § 24. We have an emergency 25 25 like to clarify the relief that we are seeking here manager statute, and we have a Constitution at issue. 1 1 today. We - our complaint sought declaratory judgment State issues are within the purview of this Court. I 2 and preliminary injunction. Today we are seeking only a don't care that it was removed to bankruptcy court. 3 declaratory judgment. There is nothing here that tells me it was properly 4 I have taken the liberty of preparing an order removed to federal bankruptcy court because there is a 5 procedure in place of how it gets removed. And this for declaratory judgment which I can present when I'm 6 done, and the Court may or may not want to say everything Court does not believe it was properly placed in the that I've said in there, but I think we are entitled to -hands of the bankruptcy court because it is going to that relief. The briefs -- this has all been briefed affect pensions. Once it affects pensions, which is already. I don't need to go over that. 10 clearly what it's going to do, it's in violation, and the 10 The State's defense to our motion did not Governor can't give permission for it to go to bankruptcy 11 11 contest the facts and did not contest the substance of 12 court. It's very-clear. I think a first-year law 12 student understands the concept. And I know the Governor the merits of the law, which is that the Constitution 13 13 prohibits diminishment of pension - accrued pension is not a lawyer, but he has very well paid lawyers who do 14 14 benefits. They simply - they simply said the case is understand the concept. 15 15 not ripe, and there is not an actual controversy for a The party asserting the claim 16 16 declaratory judgment. 17 lacks the legal capacity to sue. 17 18 Now, after yesterday, it's obviously ripe. We That is MCR 2.116(5). A party asserting the 18 cited a case in our reply brief, City of Lake Angelus, claim lacks the legal capacity to sue? How is that 19 19 possible? They're interested parties. Absolutely they which amazingly is almost on all fours with this case. I 20 20 won't describe that case again except to say that that have capacity to sue. The pension's involved, the 21 21 was a case where the Attorney General made the argument pension related to the parties. I don't see any problems 22 22 that there was no injury and there was no need for 23 23 there. declaratory judgment because a request to a tribunal had And then we have (8), which is always a

Entered 160617/166 163:556tit Funal Mather 9 upt 184008

Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 21 of

Filed 10/17/13

Filed 12/23/13

B<sub>7</sub>53<u>846-aw</u>r

**1**3-53846-tit Doc 2334-29

Doc 1219-10

court correctly ruled that the - there is an actual controversy because the parties need the court to tell 2 them what their rights and obligations are so they know 3 what to do in the future; whether this tribunal could 4 overrule a local ordinance which prohibited sea planes on 5 Lake Angelus, even though they hadn't been asked and they hadn't ruled. So that part is exactly what we have. Now we have the bankruptcy has been filed. 8 I would like to offer a couple exhibits, which 9 are the July 16th letter from Emergency Manager Orr 10 requesting authorization to file for Chapter 9, which 11 amazingly this happened on Tuesday, and none of our -12 none of our crack reporters knew about this. Nobody knew 13 about this until yesterday. This was a secret letter. 14 And the July 18th letter from yesterday of the 15 Governor authorizing Emergency Manager Orr to file for 16 Chapter 9. And I think if you look at these two letters, 17 it is crystal clear what the judge has already concluded 18 in the prior case; that not only does the bankruptcy 19 20 threaten to impair but that that is the goal and the intent of the emergency manager is to impair accrued 21 pension benefits in bankruptcy. 22 I'll give these to opposing counsel. These are 23 - they're a matter of public record now. I just wrote 24 Exhibit A and Exhibit B on them. 25 28 (Approaching the bench.) 1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 2 MR. CANZANO: As to the merits, I think again 3 it is very clear this isn't a case where you need case 4 law. You just read the Constitution. It says accrued pension benefits shall not be diminished or impaired. The Constitution says that. The Emergency Manager law says the Governor can authorize the Emergency Manager to file for Chapter 9. And it doesn't prohibit that - itdoesn't require that pension benefits be protected when 10 he files for Chapter 9. And it is, therefore, 11 12 unconstitutional to that extent. THE COURT: Is there any objection to the Court 13 14 receiving Exhibit A and B? 15

MR. DEVLIN: No objection, your Honor. THE COURT: A and B are received. Thank you. 16 (At 12:04 p.m., Exhibit A and 17 Exhibit B is received.) 18 MR. CANZANO: So the emergency manager law is 19 unconstitutional to the extent that it allows the 20 21 Governor to authorize a Chapter 9 filing which threatens to diminish or impair pension benefits. And the Governor 22 is prohibited by Article IX, § 24 from authorizing an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner <del>13-53846-tjt - Doc 2334-29 - Filed 12/27/13</del> 30

such action by the Governor is without authority and in violation of Article IX, § 24. And what happened vesterday was a violation of the Constitution. Now, my declaratory judgment order declares these statements. It also has a paragraph at the end 6 that says: In order to rectify his unauthorized and unconstitutional 9 actions described above, the Governor must: One, direct the 10 **Emergency Manager to immediately** 11 withdraw the Chapter 9 petition 12 filed on July 18th. And, two, 13 not authorize any further Chapter 14 15 9 filing which threatens to diminish or impair accrued 16 pension benefits. 17 Now, this is just a declaratory judgment. So. 18 it is my hope that if the Court is willing to enter this, 19 that the Governor will obey his oath of office and follow 20 what the Constitution requires. And so -- and if he does 21 not, then we may be back here on -- with another iteration of this that requires some type of injunctive -23 24 relief. At this time we're not seeking injunctive 25 relief, so I would -- I would withdraw our request for

preliminary injunction without prejudice. And I'd also ask, if this order is entered, that the temporary restraining order entered yesterday be vacated or expired, and all we want is a declaratory judgment right 6 now. THE COURT: And the reason to vacate or expire 7 8 the temporary restraining order? 9

MR. CANZANO: Because now we have the default judgment and the TRO. I don't remember what the court 10 rule says, but it cannot only exist for a short period of 11 time on its own, and this is the tact that we would like 12 to take because we would like to tell the Governor, "This 13 is what you're supposed to do." And then if he doesn't 14 15 do that, then we'll then -- we'll reassess our options. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. CANZANO: May I present my draft order? 17 THE COURT: Yes. Have you presented it to the 18 other side? 19

20 MR. CANZANO: I have not. THE COURT: Thank you. 21 22 MR. QUASARANO: We've looked at this, your Honor. 23

MR. CANZANO: Just as to the matter of the 24 25 jurisdiction in the bankruptcy court, I don't think Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 10 Page 10 of 1004

Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 22 of

anyone is arguing -- I don't think the Attorney General 1 is arguing that our case is stayed by the bankruptcy. 2 court because we're not suing the Emergency Manager. 3 We're only suing the Governor and the Treasurer and the 4 State of Michigan, and they're not -- they're not in the 6 bankruptcy court. They're not the debtor, so that's an argument that has been raised. But, just for clarity, I 7 wanted to point that out. That's all I have. THE COURT: Thank you. 9 Response? 10 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you, your Honor. Brian 11 Devlin again on behalf of the Defendants. I won't repeat 12 13 the discussion we had on the Flowers case. Much of that applies. The relief sought in each of these cases is the 14 same position of the State, is that the bankruptcy court 15 jurisdiction has a great effect on this, and that the 16 reliefs that might be desired by the Plaintiffs are 17 available through that court. Furthermore, we'd cite the 18 Straus case as well in this reply. 19 20 I would like to call the Court's attention to just one other thing: There was reference made to the 21 Governor's obligation to uphold the terms of the United 22 States -- of the State Constitution but that also applies 23 to the United States Constitution, and bankruptcy court 24 is certainly someone he may have to answer to as well. 25 So that should not be lost sight of. 1 Finally, I wanted to point out that we do have 2 a motion for summary disposition pending in this case as 3 well. And I would rely on the arguments in the brief. And the ones I've just restated as well to ask that that 5 relief be granted. Thank you. 6 7 THE COURT: Are you asking that that be heard now, or would you like me to make a ruling on that now? ₿ MR. DEVLIN: I think you could probably make a 9 ruling on it without further argument. 10 11 THE COURT: I think so too. MR. DEVLIN: All right. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. DEVLIN: Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Anything further? 15 MR. CANZANO: Nothing further, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 As to the motion for summary disposition in 18 regard to Defendants' motion is denied. I'm going to 19 incorporate the transcript, the arguments of the Flowers 20 matter into this file. I think that in order to have a 21 complete argument, we're going to consolidate the 22 arguments and the files for the purpose of today because 23 they are really united. They are part and parcel of the 13-53846r6WHentsDover42149ad OnavFallechdle417/13

13-53846-tit Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/29/13

1 transcript without looking at both. 2 So I'm going to direct the court reporter to treat today as one transcript despite there being two 3 docket numbers, and I didn't even call both of them, but 4 we just sort of started, but we're really dealing with 5 Dockets 13-734-CZ and 13-729-CZ. 6 7 So the motion for summary disposition in regard to 13-734-CZ, and that's Defendants' motion for summary 8 disposition is denied based on the same rationale the Court had and reasoning in the prior case. 10 In regard to the request for declaratory 11 judgment, I think it is imperative that the Court sign 12 this. It's absolutely needed. And the Governor, I have 13 to believe, took his oath in all sincerity to uphold the 14 15 United States Constitution and the State of Michigan Constitution. I hope he rereads certain sections and 16 reconsiders his actions. 17 I am finding the actions that have been taken 18 in regard to filing this action in the bankruptcy court 19 as overreaching and unconstitutional as it applies to 20 what the Detroit Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr has done in 21 22 conjunction with the Governor. So I find it absolutely necessary to sign this 23 order of declaratory judgment. I am also going to order, 24 25 in addition to what you have crafted here, that a copy of this order be forwarded to President Obama. I know that 1 he's watching this, and he's bailed out Detroit. If this 2 is going to ultimately proceed to bankruptcy without anyone paying attention to Michigan's Constitution and to what the legislature drafted and to what the Governor 5 6 himself signed into law, then there will ultimately be a request that Obama will have to look at the pension, so 7 he might as well follow this. He said in the news-that he's following this. He might as well see what we've all done here. It's that important to the State of Michigan 10 and to the thousands of people who will be affected, and 11 12 ultimately all of the taxpayers of the state of Michigan are going to be affected because we will all have to pick 13 up the tab if this is not honored as it should be. 14 15 Additionally, I am asked that the temporary restraining order be quashed and nullified, so that is 16

up the tab if this is not honored as it should be.

Additionally, I am asked that the temporary restraining order be quashed and nullified, so that is now withdrawn, and it expires today at 12:15. And the order of declaratory judgment is being signed as that expires.

Is there anything else for the record?

MR. WERTHEIMER: Not for the Plaintiffs in Flowers, your Honor.

MR. QUASARANO: I'm obliged, your Honor, to

24 move for a stay of enforcement of the order of

Enterted alabity 1/13 13:56:17 Page 11 of 1005

Enterted 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 23 3

17

18

19

20

21

```
THE COURT: You are obliged. I am obliged as
    well to deny.
2
             MR. QUASARANO: I'll have an order ready.
3
    Thank you, Judge.
4
             THE COURT: I look forward to signing all of
5
    those orders today. I will be in until 5 or so. And I
6
    haven't looked at Monday's docket. Have we taken care of
7
    all of Monday or not?
8
             MR. WERTHEIMER: I think, as to the Plaintiffs
9
    in Flowers, you have because our motion was for
10
     preliminary injunction, which you have granted and will
11
     be providing us with that order, and their motion was for
12
     summary disposition, which you've denied. I believe that
13
     was all that was up in Flowers. So that the Flowers case
14
     continues, but there is nothing up for Monday in Flowers.
15
             MR. QUASARANO: Defendants concur in Flowers.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. My law clerk is making
17
     copies, multiple copies, of the order I've just signed.
18
             I am here on a moment's notice as you all have
19
     become accustomed to if you need me.
20
             MR. WERTHEIMER: Thank you, your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: That's all for the record.
22
             MR. CANZANO: Thank you, your Honor.
23
     Appreciate the Court's ability and willingness to help us
24
     out on this urgent time.
25
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 1
                  (At 12:16 p.m., the matter is
 2
                  concluded.)
 3
 9
10
 11
12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
```

13-53846-swr Doc 1219-10 Filed 10/17/13

13-53846-tjt Doc 2334-29

Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 12 of 1006

Filed 12/27/13

1	STATE OF MICHIGAN)
2	) SS. COUNTY OF INGHAM)
3	<b>,</b>
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	I, Melinda I. Dexter, Certified Shorthand
7 .	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
8	37 pages comprise an accurate, true, and complete
9	transcript of the proceedings and testimony taken in the
10	case of Gracie Webster, et al. versus Richard Snyder, et
11 .	al., Case Nos. 13-734-CZ and 13-729-CZ, on Friday,
12	July 19, 2013.
13	I further certify that this transcript of the
14	record of the proceedings and testimony truly and
15	correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the
16	respective parties. WITNESS my hand this the nineteenth
17	day of <u>July</u> , 2013.
18	
19	
20	
.21	Maca a last
22	Melinda I. Dexter, RMR, RPR, CSR-4629
23	Official Court Reporter 313 West Kalamazoo
24	Post Office Box 40771 Lansing, Michigan 48901-7971

SIAIE	JF MICHIGAN				
IN THE $30^{TH}$ CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM					
WEBSTER, ETH,					
Plaintiff,	ORDER				
<b>v</b>	HON. ROSEMARIE E. AQUILINA				
RICKSNYDER, ETAL,	Docket No: 13-734-CZ				
Defendant.					
of Lansing, County of	said Court in the City Ingham, State of Michigan, of				
	Rosemarie E. Aquilina ircuit Court Judge				
Upon review of motion, and a hearing	being held in open court, and argument having				
been heard, and being fully apprised of the iss	nues, states the following:				
IT IS ORDERED that _DEFEN[	DANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY				
DISPOSITION IS DENIED FR	PETHE REASONS STATED				
FROM THE BENCH.					
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina (P37670)				
	Circuit Court Judge				
Approved as to form:  Plaintiff / Plaintiff's Att	Defendant / Defendant's Attorney				

# STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

GRACIE WEBSTER and VERONICA THOMAS,

Plaintiffs,

VS

Case No. 13-734-CZ Hon. Rosemarie Aquilina

THE STATE OF MICHIGAN; RICHARD SNYDER, as Governor of the State of Michigan; and ANDY DILLON, as Treasurer of the State of Michigan,

Defendants.

### ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

At a session of said Court held in Ingham County Circuit Court, State of Michigan, this 19 May of July, 2013.

PRESENT:

Circuit Court Judge

Plaintiffs request declaratory relief pursuant to MCR 2.605 concerning (1) the constitutionality under Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution of the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act, 2012 PA 436, MCL 141.1541, et seq. ("PA 436"), insofar as PA 436 permits the Governor to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under chapter 9 of the bankruptcy code, chapter 9 of title 11 of the United States Code, 29 USC 901 to 946 ("Chapter 9") in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits; and (2) the

authority of the Governor and/or State Treasurer to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits.

Plaintiffs have requested, and Defendants have agreed in their Response, that the hearing in this matter may be advanced pursuant to MCR 2.605(D) and the court finds that expedited treatment is appropriate and that final declaratory relief is proper at this time.

The Court having reviewed the parties filings and submissions, and having heard oral argument by counsel for the parties, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, and for the reasons stated on the record,

### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

PA 436 is unconstitutional and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution to the extent that it permits the Governor to authorize an emergency manager to proceed under Chapter 9 in any manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits; and PA 436 is to that extent of no force or effect;

The Governor is prohibited by Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution from authorizing an emergency manager under PA 436 to proceed under Chapter 9 in a manner which threatens to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits, and any such action by the Governor is without authority and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.

On July 16, 2013, City of Detroit Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr submitted a recommendation to Defendant Governor Snyder and Defendant Treasurer Dillon pursuant to Section 18(1) of PA 436 to proceed under Chapter 9, which together with the facts presented in Plaintiffs' filings, reflect that Emergency Manager Orr intended to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits if he were authorized to proceed under Chapter 9. On July 18, 2013, Defendant

13-53846-swr Doc 1219-12 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 2 of 31010 13-53846-tjt Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/27/13 Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 28 of

Governor Snyder approved the Emergency Manager's recommendation without placing any contingencies on a Chapter 9 filing by the Emergency Manager; and the Emergency Manager filed a Chapter 9 petition shortly thereafter. By authorizing the Emergency Manager to proceed under Chapter 9 to diminish or impair accrued pension benefits, Defendant Snyder acted without authority under Michigan law and in violation of Article IX Section 24 of the Michigan Constitution.

In order to rectify his unauthorized and unconstitutional actions described above, the Governor must (1) direct the Emergency Manager to immediately withdraw the Chapter 9 petition filed on July 18, and (2) not authorize any further Chapter 9 filing which threatens to A copy of this Order shall be transmitted to President Obarna. It is so Ordered. Roxenii. 6 1. diminish or impair accrued pension benefits.

Circuit Court Judge

### STATE OF MICHIGAN

## IN THE 30TH CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF INGHAM

WEBSTER,	GTAL
`	:_4:00

Plaintiff,

ORDER

HON. ROSEMARIE E. AQUILINA

RICK SNYDER, ETAL.

Docket No: 13-734-CZ

Defendant.

At a session of said Court in the City of Lansing, County of Ingham, State of Michigan, this // day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 201\_

The Honorable Rosemarie E. Aquilina PRESENT: 30th Judicial Circuit Court Judge

Upon review of motion, and a hearing being held in open court, and argument having been heard, and being fully apprised of the issues, states the following:

IT IS ORDERED that DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY, PENDING APPEAL, THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE COURT'S ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND THE ORDER OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENT IS DENIED FOR THE REASONS STATED FROM THE BENCH.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Hon. Rosemarie E. Aquilina (P

Circuit Court Judge

Approved as to form:

Plaintiff's Attorney

Defendant / Defendant's Attorney

13-53846-swr Doc 1219-13 Filed 10/17/13 Entered 10/17/13 13:56:17 Page 1 of 11012 13-53846-tjt Doc 2334-29 Filed 12/27/13 Entered 12/27/13 13:37:04 Page 30 of